



Officers, 2024-2025

Mollie L. Miller
Chair
Fresenius Medical Care
North America

Jamie S. Laiewski
Vice Chair
Charter Communications

Karen DiNuzzo-Wright
Secretary & Treasurer
Walmart Inc.

Michael F. Carchia
Immediate Past Chair
Capital One Services, LLC

Robert J. Tuinstra, Jr.
Past Chair
Corteva Agriscience

Arthur J. Parham, Jr.
Past Chair
Entergy Services, LLC

Amy Thomas Laub
Past Chair
Nationwide Insurance Company

Patrick J. Reynolds
President
Council On State Taxation

Directors

Madison J. Barnett
The Coca-Cola Company

C. Benjamin Bright
HCA Healthcare, Inc.

Lani J. Canniff
Ameriprise Financial, Inc.

Susan Courson-Smith
Pfizer Inc.

Kathryn S. Friel
Entergy Services, LLC

Damian B. Hunt
Amazon

Laura James
Kimberly-Clark Corporation

Jeffrey A. Langer
The Home Depot

Stephen J. LaRosa
Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Jonathan M. Mieritz
Corteva Agriscience

Toni Mincic
Lumen Technologies

John H. Paraskevas
Exxon Mobil Corporation

Michael R. Raley
VF Corporation

Patrick A. Shrake
Cargill, Incorporated

Kyle Snedaker
Conagra Brands, Inc.

Andrew H. Solomon
Stagwell, Inc.

Beth L. Sosidka
AT&T Services, Inc.

Archana Warner
Constellation Energy
Corporation

Fredrick J. Nicely
Senior Tax Counsel
(202) 484-5213
fnicely@cost.org

Douglas L. Lindholm
Special Counsel and Chair, STRI
(202) 484-5212
dlindholm@cost.org

April 10, 2025

Representative Greg Davids, Chair
Representative Aisha Gomez, Chair
Representative Jim Joy, Vice Chair
Representative Matt Norris, Vice Chair
Minnesota House of Representatives, Taxes Committee

Re: In Support of H.F. 950 - 30-Day Safe-Harbor for Income Earned by Certain Nonresident Employees

Dear Chair Davids, Chair Gomez, Vice Chair Joy, Vice Chair Norris, and Members of the Committee:

On behalf of the Council On State Taxation (COST), we are writing in support of H.F. 950 which addresses the issue of nonresident withholding and personal income tax liability on nonresident employees temporarily working in Minnesota. H.F. 950 simplifies individual income tax filings and reduces employers' withholding and reporting burdens on employees working less than 30 days in Minnesota. The bill also contains a reciprocity provision that would only apply to states offering similar withholding and personal income tax liability requirements, giving Minnesota residents the same benefits when they perform out-of-state work travel in a state with similar safe-harbor provisions.¹

About COST

COST is a non-profit trade association based in Washington, DC. COST was formed in 1969 as an advisory committee to the Council of State Chambers of Commerce and today has an independent membership of approximately 500 major corporations engaged in interstate and international business, many of which directly conduct business in Minnesota. COST's objective is to preserve and promote the equitable and non-discriminatory state and local taxation of multijurisdictional business entities.

Nonresident Taxation Becoming an Increasing Problem

Every day, hundreds of thousands of employees across the country are sent by their employers to work in states where they are not residents. Many of these trips are temporary in nature, with employees conducting limited business in the nonresident state for short periods of time and then returning to their resident state. Nonresident employees who travel to Minnesota for business purposes are subject to onerous administrative burdens because, in addition to filing a federal income tax return and a state income tax return in their home state, they are also legally required to file an income tax return in Minnesota, and their employers to withhold from their paychecks, if they earn in-state wages greater than or equal to the minimum income requirement for filing a state income tax return.

¹ See Illinois S.B. 1515 (2019); Indiana S.B. 419 (2023); Louisiana S.B. 157 (2021); Montana H.B. 447 (2023); West Virginia H.B. 2026 (2021), and Alabama H.B. 379 (Pending).

Such a wage-based threshold is especially burdensome for employees because they may not know the exact amount of income they will earn in a year. In addition to salary, many employees earn bonuses, commissions and other perquisites throughout the year. Many of these are based on a variety of unknown factors, such as the economy, business performance, and personal performance levels. Employees frequently receive stock commissions, relocation benefits and other benefits (*e.g.*, personal use of a company car) that generate income. These supplemental wage payments are based on factors not related to salary and cannot be estimated prior to the end of the year.

A wage-based threshold requires employers to coordinate their payroll systems with payments made to employees by third parties. Third party payments may include sick or disability payments, supplemental retirement pay, and various types of stock compensation and relocation benefits, all of which may be considered wages to the employee. It is extremely challenging for employers to track and incorporate these supplemental wages, which are generally paid outside an employer's payroll system, and add that information to the internal payroll information. When employees travel, they do not think in terms of the “dollars” earned while they are away from home but the “days” they are on business travel. A dollar threshold is not simple, and creates compliance issues for employees, employers, and even state auditors.


A Simple Solution: 30-Day Threshold

H.F. 950 provides for a fair and easily administered law in the form of a 30-day threshold which would help individuals and businesses comply with tax return and withholding laws, greatly reducing the undue burden the current system places on employees and employers. The 30-day threshold is a simple solution to protect nonresident employees traveling into Minnesota for a temporary period from incurring a personal income tax liability in Minnesota and employers (including businesses, associations, governments, and unions) from incurring withholding obligations for those employees. Of course, a nonresident employee's earnings would be subject to income tax in Minnesota if the employee is present and performing duties for more than 30 days during the calendar year, from day one. The legislation also includes a reciprocity provision that would extend Minnesota's 30-day safe-harbor to only those nonresident employees whose resident state provides the same protection to Minnesota employees—a provision designed to encourage other states with an income tax to adopt comparable legislation.


Conclusion

H.F. 950 is not just a business bill – it most importantly protects individuals such as emergency workers and first responders; trade union workers; non-profit staff; teachers; federal, state and local government employees; and many others. Any organization with employees who cross state lines for temporary periods will benefit from this law. Enactment of H.F. 950 will greatly improve Minnesota's national reputation for fair, efficient and customer-focused tax administration and we urge this Committee to pass H.F. 950. We stand ready to assist you in your efforts to enact this important measure.

Respectfully,



Douglas L. Lindholm



Fredrick J. Nicely

cc: COST Board of Directors
Patrick J. Reynolds, COST President & Executive Director



April 10, 2025

Dear Members of the House Taxes Committee:

The Minnesota Chamber is a statewide organization representing more than 6,300 businesses of all sizes employing more than half a million employees. We support policies to best position our state for future success by advancing a competitive business climate to encourage a growing economy through increased private sector investment, entrepreneurship, and talent recruitment and retention.

We support House File 950 and thank Chair Davids for his authorship of this bill which is part of a multi-state effort to enact a uniform threshold requirement for traveling employees.

HF 950 is an important initiative to adopt model state legislation to reduce compliance burdens and to better reflect our interconnected mobile economy. The modern workforce isn't stationary and employees who travel outside their states for business are subject to onerous administrative burdens as they may be legally required to file income taxes in every state in which they traveled. In some states, those tax requirements can be triggered if they were there for only one day. Minnesota currently utilizes a wage threshold. However, a wage threshold can create confusion as it is not necessarily simple or easy to calculate "dollars" earned while traveling while a day approach is much more straight-forward.

Although this bill is focused on non-residents, it will also help Minnesota employees traveling to other states by reducing tax complexity and compliance burdens for both employees and employers since its non-resident filing provision will only be available for states that have adopted a similar provision.

This approach is similar to other proposals Minnesota has successfully adopted such as the Streamlined Sales Tax initiative where states have joined together to adopt model legislation to reduce tax compliance costs and burdens.

We urge your support for this bill.

Sincerely,

Brian Cook
Director of Taxes, Fiscal Policy, and Elections